

ICTR Translational Basic & Clinical Research Pilot Award

Key Dates and Info	
Funding	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Up to \$50,000 (direct costs only) Up to 12 months
Requirements	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> Contact PI must have UW–Madison PI status (permanent or limited) Co-PIs with interdisciplinary partnership Clinical research projects that define and develop novel clinical interventions, OR Translational aspects of research incorporated into an investigator-initiated project, OR Projects that address contemporary and/or emerging health crises
Letter of Intent Due (required)	On or before Tuesday, January 27, 2026, 11pm CT Submit via email to Bri Deyo, deyo@wisc.edu
Full Application Due	On or before Tuesday, March 10, 2026, 11pm CT Link to full application will be provided by invitation
Review Period	Spring 2026
Notification of Grant Award and Just-in-Time Activities	June 2026
Earliest Start Date	July 1, 2026
Contact	Bri Deyo deyo@wisc.edu , 608-262-9188
More Information	https://ictr.wisc.edu/funding/translational-basic-and-clinical-research/ Website includes links to: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> This RFA Budget and Justification Template (required) Recently funded TBCR Awards Frequently Asked Questions

UW ICTR Partners

School of Medicine and Public Health • School of Nursing • School of Pharmacy
School of Veterinary Medicine • College of Engineering • School of Education • Marshfield Clinic

PROGRAM OVERVIEW

Institute for Clinical and Translational Research (ICTR) programs have a long history of fostering clinical and translational research. These programs center around building capacity in translational science by supporting the next generation of biomedical, social, and behavioral scientists; providing investigators and clinicians with critical resources including consultations, technology, and data science; and creating novel solutions to disseminate innovations that improve healthcare practice. Equally critical, ICTR fosters the development of interdisciplinary translational research teams that will advance drugs, devices, products, and behavioral interventions along a trajectory leading to new preventive or therapeutic options that improve human health.

Translational Research seeks to turn biomedical research discoveries into health solutions through the application of Translational Science. As mentioned above, these solutions include efficacious diagnostics, treatments, and interventions. Resources related to translational science principles and practices are available from the National Institutes of Health, National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences (ncats.nih.gov):

- About Translational Science | NCATS
<https://ncats.nih.gov/about/about-translational-science>
- Translational Science Spectrum | NCATS
<https://ncats.nih.gov/about/about-translational-science/spectrum>
- Translational Science Principles | NCATS
<https://ncats.nih.gov/about/about-translational-science/principles>
- Biomedical Translation | NCATS YouTube
<https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=TnHLo-hCsq> (a short video)
- NIH VideoCast - NCATS at 10: Improving Health for All Through Translational Science
<https://videocast.nih.gov/watch=44278> (a long video)
- Introduction to Translational Science | Coursera
<https://www.coursera.org/learn/intro-translational-science#modules> (a learning course)

The ICTR Translational Basic & Clinical Research (TBCR) Pilot Award supports:

1. Clinical research projects that define and develop novel clinical interventions, **OR**
2. Translational aspects of research incorporated into an investigator-initiated project, **OR**
3. Projects that address contemporary and/or emerging health crises

ICTR Pilot Awards are intended to support projects that will further an investigator's career path. Successful proposals will clearly describe how pilot data collected as a part of this award will be used to seek further extramural funding in support of a research-career trajectory. Early career investigators with limited PI experience are strongly encouraged to submit proposals as the Contact PI, in partnership with senior investigators who have relevant research methods expertise to serve as Co-Investigators, collaborators and/or mentors.

This RFA is not intended to support pilot projects with clinical trial designs (e.g., randomized controlled trials with multiple study arms).

UW ICTR Partners

School of Medicine and Public Health • School of Nursing • School of Pharmacy
School of Veterinary Medicine • College of Engineering • School of Education • Marshfield Clinic

FUNDING

Funding support is contingent upon successful non-competitive renewal of grant support from the NIH National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences (NCATS) for awards administered by the UW Institute for Clinical and Translational Research (ICTR). For scientifically meritorious proposals, ICTR will seek opportunities for co-funding from relevant departments and centers.

The project period is 12 months from the start date. No cost extensions are permitted with justification.

ELIGIBILITY OF CONTACT PRINCIPAL INVESTIGATOR

- Only one Co-PI will serve as the Contact PI
- The Contact PI must hold UW–Madison PI status (may be permanent or limited)
- The Contact PI must have an appointment greater than or equal to 0.5 FTE at UW–Madison (the award funding account will be managed through the Contact PI's UW–Madison department)
 - Faculty with any title, in any track (clinical health sciences, clinician teacher, tenure, research professor, teaching professor or clinical adjunct) are eligible
 - Academic staff must have permanent or limited PI status and must provide confirmation of PI authorization from the Office of the Vice Chancellor for Research and/or their department upon application
 - Resource: UW Research Principal Investigator Status Policy:
<https://research.wisc.edu/compliance-policy/principal-investigator-status>
- The Contact PI must be registered with eRA Commons and ORCID
 - eRA Commons (<https://public.era.nih.gov/commonsplus>)
 - ORCID (<https://orcid.org>)

A Contact PI may not:

- Be the Contact PI or MPI on any currently active ICTR grant award (serving as Co-I or other Key Personnel is not exclusionary)
- Be the Contact PI or MPI for a concurrent submission to the 2026 ICTR Advancing Translational Research & Science (ATRS) pilot award

Proposals that include multiple PIs (MPIs) must designate one person as the Contact PI, who must meet all eligibility criteria. Individuals who do not meet the criteria for Contact PI may serve as Co-Investigators or other Key Personnel.

APPLICATION AND SUBMISSION

Please review and follow these instructions carefully. Deadlines, contact information, and submission details are listed in the Key Dates and Info Table on Page 1 of this RFA.

A References and Resources Table is provided at the end of this RFA which contains links to key concepts that are important for this award.

1. Letter of Intent Submission – REQUIRED

A letter of intent (LOI) must be submitted via email (see the Key Dates and Info Table on Page 1). Once the LOI is received, the applicant will receive an e-mail acknowledgment. The LOI serves to determine PI eligibility, responsiveness to the RFA, and to assist in the identification of appropriate peer reviewers. The LOI is not exclusionary – anyone who submits an LOI can submit the full application.

UW ICTR Partners

School of Medicine and Public Health • School of Nursing • School of Pharmacy
School of Veterinary Medicine • College of Engineering • School of Education • Marshfield Clinic

LOI Format: PDF, 1 page maximum, single spaced, 0.50" minimum margins, Arial 11-point font

Required Information (a through g), in this order:

- a) Title of proposed research project
- b) For Contact PI and Co-PI:
 - Name
 - Title
 - UW–Madison School and Department
 - Campus email address
 - Telephone number
 - Campus mailing address
- c) Co-Investigators or other key personnel or consultants who are crucial to the design and conduct of the proposed research:
 - Name
 - Title
 - Professional Affiliation
 - Email address
- d) A list of anticipated contributors, collaborators, organizations, community partners, consultants, and/or invested groups, including a brief description of how they will be involved in this research
- e) A brief description of the proposal aims and how the project aligns with at least one of these priorities:
 - Clinical research projects that define and develop novel clinical interventions, **OR**
 - Translational aspects of research incorporated into an investigator-initiated project, **OR**
 - Projects that address contemporary and/or emerging health crises
- f) A brief description of the knowledge gap and/or translational barrier this proposal intends to address
- g) Names of potential non-conflicted UW–Madison or Marshfield Clinic Research Institute reviewers with appropriate scientific expertise. (NOTE: this does not guarantee the named individuals will be contacted)

2. Full Application - REQUIRED

Contact PIs who submit an LOI before the deadline (see the Key Dates and Info Table on Page 1) will receive confirmation via email that contains a link to the online application submission form. **All application materials are submitted via the online submission form.**

Online Form Fields

The REDCap form contains fields that collect academic (required) and demographic (optional) information about the Contact PI. The Contact PI should enter their information first and then add information about the Co-PI in the “Co- PI Information” section. Information that must be entered for both PIs includes: ORCID, eRA Commons ID, and institutional contact information.

A complete application must include all the following components, in this order, **combined into one PDF file and submitted via the online submission form.**

- Scientific Abstract
- Narrative (including sections a through j)
- Citations

UW ICTR Partners

School of Medicine and Public Health • School of Nursing • School of Pharmacy
School of Veterinary Medicine • College of Engineering • School of Education • Marshfield Clinic



- Timeline
- Co-PI and Leadership plan
- Regulatory Approvals
- Biosketches
- Other Support
- Letters of Support

Full Application Format: Files must be PDF and should follow NIH guidelines

(<https://grants.nih.gov/grants-process/write-application/how-to-apply-application-guide/format-attachments>).

- **Margins:** Provide at least one-half inch margins (0.5") - top, bottom, left, and right - for all pages.
- **Font size:** Must be 11 points or larger. Smaller text in graphics, figures, graphs, diagrams, and charts is acceptable, as long as it is legible when the page is viewed at 100%.
 - Arial font is highly recommended and meets all requirements.
 - Some PDF conversion software reduces font size. It is important to confirm that the final PDF document complies with the font requirements.
- **Type density:** Must be no more than 15 characters per linear inch (including characters and spaces).
- **Line spacing:** Must be no more than six lines per vertical inch.
- **Text color:** No restriction. Though not required, black or other high-contrast text colors are recommended since they print well and are legible to the largest audience.
- **Headers and Footers:** Do not use headers or footers except for page numbers. Letters of Support that are on letterhead which includes headers and footers are acceptable.

Page or word limits are specified for each section. If no page or word limit is specified below, there is no such limit.

Scientific Abstract

500 words, maximum. Provide a concise description of the proposed research written for scientific audiences.

- Resource:
 - Writing to Convince: The 1-page Abstract and More | UW–Madison Video Library:
<https://videos.med.wisc.edu/videos/8470>

The Scientific Abstract must include:

- Scientific rationale supporting the proposed research
- Specific hypothesis or hypotheses to be generated or tested
- Research aim(s)
- Research design or framework
- Research methods and analytical plan
- Brief description of how this project will inform your next grant, including potential funders who have identified your research topic as a health priority

Narrative

7 pages, maximum. Must include all following sections (a through j), in this order:

- a) Specific Aims
 - Include clearly defined and measurable objectives
- b) Background and Significance

UW ICTR Partners

School of Medicine and Public Health • School of Nursing • School of Pharmacy
School of Veterinary Medicine • College of Engineering • School of Education • Marshfield Clinic



- Include a strong statement regarding the ways the project will directly impact those who will benefit from the research, how that will happen, and potential for impact on human health and anticipated outcomes of subsequent research
 - Describe the involvement of the patients, community, and/or other impacted entities in developing the research question, if relevant
 - Describe feedback from community partners and/or the study population (e.g., patient advocacy groups, community clinicians, health care administrators) on the proposed work, if relevant
- c) Innovation
- Describe how this project addresses the specific aims in a new way
 - Describe any barriers to current research, therapeutic approaches, clinical practices or policies that this project addresses
- d) Approach
- Describe any preliminary research and experience relevant to this proposal
 - Describe study design, including study procedures and data collection
 - Describe qualitative and/or quantitative methodologies
 - If proposing new methodology, describe how this project improves upon current methods
 - Describe potential difficulties and limitations, and how to overcome or mitigate them
 - If involving human research participants, describe and justify the study population (e.g. included age range, race/ethnicity, sex), and how the research plan ensures the protection of participants from research-related risks
 - Resources:
 - i. ICTR Research and Protocol Development free consult:
<https://ictr.wisc.edu/research-resources/protocol-development>
 - ii. NIH Pilot Studies, Common Uses and Misuses:
<https://www.nccih.nih.gov/grants/pilot-studies-common-uses-and-misuses>
- e) Data Analysis Plan
- Describe how quantitative data, as applicable will be evaluated and interpreted
 - Describe how data evaluation methods and techniques were selected
 - Describe sample size justification, if applicable
 - Describe data sharing plan, if applicable
 - i. NIH Scientific Data Sharing: Policies and Access to Data:
<https://grants.nih.gov/policy-and-compliance/policy-topics/sharing-policies>
 - ii. NIH Genomic Data Sharing Policy: <https://sharing.nih.gov/genomic-data-sharing-policy>
 - Resources:
 - i. General SAP Guidance: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4552232>
 - ii. ICTR Biostatistics and Epidemiology Research and Design free consult:
<https://ictr.wisc.edu/consults/biostatistics-2>
 - iii. UW Data Science Institute free consult: <https://dsi.wisc.edu/services/data-science-services>
 - iv. NIH Strategic Plan for Data Science: <https://datascience.nih.gov/nih-strategic-plan-data-science>
- f) Co-Principal Investigators
- Indicate which PI will serve as the Contact PI. Describe how the Co-PI will share responsibility for completion of the project (roles, progress reports, collaborations).



- Provide evidence of Co-PI interdisciplinarity (i.e., different departments, different academic discipline training/orientation)
 - If the Contact PI is an early-career investigator, describe experience, training, and mentorship related to the proposed work
 - If the Contact PI is an established investigator, describe accomplishments (i.e. publications, external funding, sustained practice, and/or policy change) related to the proposed work
 - Describe previous experience working directly with the community organizations, patients, and/or other impacted entities involved in this application, if relevant
 - Describe new investigator collaborations, such as new junior-senior investigator collaborations or a collaboration between investigators from UW–Madison and Marshfield Clinic Research Institute
- g) Environment
- Describe the scientific environment in which the work will be done
 - Describe how this environment will contribute to the success of the project
 - Describe how the project will benefit from the unique features of the environment
- h) Collaboration Plan
- Describe planned processes for team decision-making and scientific direction, including Co-PI engagement as equal partners
 - Describe the planned distribution of responsibilities and work processes, including protocol procedures, administrative responsibilities, project management, and dissemination activities
 - Describe planned collaboration activities, including communications and information-sharing methods, and meeting frequency and modality
 - Resource:
 - i. ICTR Team Science Collaboration Planning free consult:
<https://ictr.wisc.edu/team-science/team-science-collaboration-planning>
- i) Dissemination Plan
- Describe the audience(s) to which results from this project will be disseminated (e.g. scientific audiences, patients, community partners, and/or other specific clinical practices, advocacy groups or policy makers, if relevant)
 - Describe how and when the results from this project will reach the specified audience(s)
 - Identify the dissemination scale (local, national, international)
 - Resource:
 - i. ICTR Dissemination and Implementation Launchpad free consult:
<https://ictr.wisc.edu/research-resources/dissemination-implementation-launchpad/di-research-consultation/>
- j) Future Considerations
- Funding - **An expectation of this program is that the research team must have plans to apply for extramural funding.** What is the next step in this research project's trajectory and what are your plans for acquiring subsequent funding?
 - Examples of other future considerations might include:
 - i. Research Implications – What is the five-year trajectory envisioned for this pilot research to move toward impact? What is the potential for generalizability of this work? What kinds of collaborators and/or community partners will need to be engaged for future work?

UW ICTR Partners

School of Medicine and Public Health • School of Nursing • School of Pharmacy
School of Veterinary Medicine • College of Engineering • School of Education • Marshfield Clinic

- ii. Policy Implications – If applicable, address how this research might inform or impact organizational or public policies.

Citations

List all citations referenced. No specific formatting style is required (e.g., APA or MLA), however, the style must be consistent within the list and full citations must be referenced for all relevant and cited literature. Include the PMC reference number (PMCID) and/or Digital Object Identifier (DOI), where applicable.

Timeline & Feasibility

Describe how all proposed activities are feasible within the 12-month grant period. Include a table that shows all project activities and milestones, examples include (but are not limited to):

- IRB/IACUC application and approval
- Development of project tools/data collection forms
- Participant recruitment/sample acquisition
- Data collection, extraction/abstraction, validation, analysis
- Dissemination activities: presentations of results, manuscript preparation, etc.

Regulatory Approvals

Must be addressed for all proposed projects that involve activities with human or animal research participants and/or biological samples.

For projects with proposed human participants and/or human biologic samples, describe what existing or planned regulatory reviews will be required (e.g., Institutional Review Board, Certificate of Confidentiality, biological/laboratory safety certifications, etc.). Documentation of IRB approval for the proposed pilot project (with title and PI matching the proposal) must be provided to ICTR prior to engaging in research activities with human participants prior to release of grant funds. **Amending an existing IRB approval from another existing project is NOT allowed.**

For projects with proposed animal participants and/or animal biologic samples, the Contact PI must provide current IACUC approval or proof of a pending IACUC application.

Biosketches

Must be submitted for all Investigators (Contact PI, Co-PI, and Co-Is), using the current NIH template.

- Resources:
 - NIH Biosketch Template: <https://grants.nih.gov/grants/forms/biosketch.htm>
 - NIH 2025 Updates: <https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/notice-files/NOT-OD-24-163.html>

Other Support

Must be submitted for all Investigators and all other Key Personnel listed in the Budget, using the current NIH template. Must include a statement of overlap between previously funded projects and the proposed research.

- Resources:
 - NIH Other Support Template: <https://grants.nih.gov/grants-process/write-application/forms-directory/other-support>
 - NIH 2025 Updates: <https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/notice-files/NOT-OD-24-163.html>
 - UW–Madison Other Support Guidance: <https://rsp.wisc.edu/other-support-information.cfm>

UW ICTR Partners

School of Medicine and Public Health • School of Nursing • School of Pharmacy
School of Veterinary Medicine • College of Engineering • School of Education • Marshfield Clinic

Letters of Support

Signed (ink or electronic) letters of support from all collaborators must be included. Letters from collaborators should specify:

- The support they are offering the project, the role/s they will play, and how they intend to use the results of the project towards next research step or health practice, program, and/or policy change, if applicable
- Their specific, meaningful role in the project

PIs who are Academic Staff with non-faculty titles must include a letter of support from their department chair or dean expressing strong support for independence on this specific proposal and an outline of the PI's proposed academic trajectory.

Budget and Justification

The budget and justification must be submitted using the template provided and include all expenses that directly support the proposed project. Total budgets may not exceed the cap listed for this award.

Indirect and/or administrative costs are not allowed. Budgets are subject to review and approval by ICTR.

Budgets must include, with justification for each line item:

- Personnel
 - Include the percent effort to be committed to the project for all contributors, with fringe where applicable, including Co-Investigators, mentors, collaborators, staff, and students
 - i. Investigator salary is only allowed for work related to this award, with justification
 - ii. Graduate student and post-doctoral associate stipends are allowed for work related to the pilot, with justification. Include any proposed tuition remission in the budget, per UW–Madison policy. Direct tuition payments are not allowed.
 - iii. List all contributors, even those with in-kind or zero-dollar contributions
 - iv. The utilization of research staff (i.e. research coordinators or project coordinators) is highly recommended
 - v. NIH salary caps apply
- Collaborators and/or Contractual Costs
 - Include payments to individuals and/or organizations that are outside of UW–Madison, and could be in the form of stipends or fees for services
- Research Participant Costs
 - Include any costs associated with paying research participants for their participation, and/or food, travel, parking or other items provided directly to participants for the purpose of contributing to this research
 - All research participant incentives (including food, materials, and/or payments) must be approved by the IRB
- Supplies
 - Laboratory and computing supplies, research equipment, office supplies, etc., that are essential, solely for the study, and not otherwise available may be requested
 - Large equipment expenditures (> \$5,000) are not allowed
- Travel
 - Include any travel, made by UW–Madison personnel, which is necessary for the conduct of the research

UW ICTR Partners

School of Medicine and Public Health • School of Nursing • School of Pharmacy
School of Veterinary Medicine • College of Engineering • School of Education • Marshfield Clinic

- Other Expenses
 - List any expenses which do not fit into any of the previous categories
- Community Collaborators/Partners, if relevant
 - List all non-UW–Madison collaborators, including the organization they represent, and their role on the project
 - Additional rows may be added, as needed
- Project/Performance Site: Primary Location
 - List the address where the study activities will be taking place
 - Additional rows may be added, as needed
- Budget Justification
 - Include cost basis information for all listed expenses
 - Create a list of justifications in the same order as the Detailed Budget Table
 - All categories that have proposed spending must be justified in this section

Please refer to UW–Madison budget policies, including allowable and unallowable expenses, payments to personnel, and payments to research participants. **It is strongly encouraged that a fiscal administrator or pre-award support staff from the Contact PI's home department review the budget prior to submission.**

- Budget resources (please note that additional policies may apply, depending on the specific proposed spending):
 - UW–Madison Allowable costs: <https://rsp.wisc.edu/awardmgt/directcosts.cfm>
 - UW–Madison Tuition Remission: https://rsp.wisc.edu/policies/tuitionremission_faqs.cfm

REVIEW CRITERIA

Each full application will be evaluated by at least two independent peer reviewers using the Simplified Framework for NIH Peer Review. Scientific merit will be determined by averaging the Overall Impact scores from each independent peer reviewer. Meritorious applications will then be evaluated and ranked by an ICTR Scientific Review Group (SRG). The SRG may request further clarification and/or modifications.

All applicants, regardless of funding decision, will receive a summary statement and aggregate comments, explaining the rationale for the scores following completion of the entire review process.

The Simplified Framework for NIH Peer Review Criteria retains the five regulatory criteria (Significance, Investigators, Innovation, Approach, Environment) but reorganizes them into three factors; two will receive numerical criterion scores and one will be evaluated for sufficiency. All three factors will be considered in arriving at the Overall Impact score. **The reframing of the criteria serves to focus reviewers on three central questions reviewers should be evaluating:** How important is the proposed research; are the methods rigorous and feasible; and whether the investigators and institution have the expertise/resources necessary to carry out the project.

- Resource: Simplifying Review of Research Project Grant Applications | NIH
<https://grants.nih.gov/policy-and-compliance/policy-topics/peer-review/simplifying-review>

Overall Impact

Reviewers will provide an overall impact score to reflect their assessment of the likelihood for the project to exert a sustained, powerful influence on the research field(s) involved, in consideration of the following

UW ICTR Partners

School of Medicine and Public Health • School of Nursing • School of Pharmacy
School of Veterinary Medicine • College of Engineering • School of Education • Marshfield Clinic

review criteria. An application does not need to be strong in all categories to be judged likely to have major scientific impact.

Factor 1: Importance of the Research, scored (1 = exceptional; 9 = poor)

- **Significance**
 - Evaluate the importance of the proposed research in the context of current scientific challenges and opportunities, either for advancing knowledge within the field, or more broadly. Assess whether the application addresses an important gap in knowledge in the field, would solve a critical problem, or create a valuable conceptual or technical advance.
 - Evaluate the rationale for undertaking the study, the rigor of the scientific background for the work (e.g., prior literature and/or preliminary data) and whether the scientific background justifies the proposed study.
- **Innovation**
 - Evaluate the extent to which innovation influences the importance of undertaking the proposed research. Note that while technical or conceptual innovation can influence the importance of the proposed research, a project that is not applying novel concepts or approaches may be of critical importance for the field.
 - Evaluate whether the proposed work applies novel concepts, methods or technologies or uses existing concepts, methods, technologies in novel ways, to enhance the overall impact of the project.

Factor 2: Rigor and Feasibility, scored (1 = exceptional; 9 = poor)

- **Approach**
 - Evaluate the scientific quality of the proposed work. Evaluate the likelihood that compelling, reproducible findings will result (rigor) and assess whether the proposed studies can be done well and within the timeframes proposed (feasibility).
- **Rigor**
 - Evaluate the potential to produce unbiased, reproducible, robust data.
 - Evaluate the rigor of experimental design and whether appropriate controls are in place.
 - Evaluate whether the sample size is sufficient and well-justified.
 - Assess the quality of the plans for analysis, interpretation, and reporting of results.
 - Evaluate whether the investigators presented adequate plans to address relevant biological variables, such as sex or age, in the design, analysis, and reporting.
 - For applications involving human research participants or vertebrate animals, also evaluate:
 - the rigor of the intervention or study manipulation (if applicable to the study design).
 - whether outcome variables are justified.
 - whether the results will be generalizable or, in the case of a rare disease/special group, relevant to the particular subgroup.
 - whether the sample is appropriate and sufficiently diverse to address the proposed question(s).
 - For applications involving human research participants, including clinical trials, assess the adequacy of inclusion plans as appropriate for the scientific goals of the research. Considerations of appropriateness may include disease/condition/behavior incidence, prevalence, or population burden, population representation, and/or current state of the science.

UW ICTR Partners

School of Medicine and Public Health • School of Nursing • School of Pharmacy
School of Veterinary Medicine • College of Engineering • School of Education • Marshfield Clinic



- Feasibility
 - Evaluate whether the proposed approach is sound and achievable, including plans to address problems or new challenges that emerge in the work. For proposed studies in which feasibility may be less certain, evaluate whether the uncertainty is balanced by the potential for major advances.
 - For applications involving human research participants, including clinical interventions, evaluate the adequacy and feasibility of the plan to recruit and retain an appropriately diverse population of participants as relevant to the scientific question. Additionally, evaluate the likelihood of successfully achieving the proposed enrollment goals.
 - For clinical interventions, evaluate whether the project timeline and milestones are feasible.

Factor 3: Expertise and Resources, evaluated as either sufficient for the proposed research or not

- Investigator(s)
 - Evaluate whether the investigator(s) have demonstrated background, training, and expertise, as appropriate for their career stage, to conduct the proposed work. For Multiple Principal Investigator (MPI) applications, assess the quality of the leadership plan to facilitate coordination and collaboration.
- Environment
 - Evaluate whether the institutional resources are appropriate to ensure the successful execution of the proposed work.
 - Evaluate whether the budget and the requested period of support are fully justified and reasonable in relation to the proposed research.

AWARD ADMINISTRATION

All applicants receiving ICTR pilot awards must adhere to the following administrative requirements:

- Pre-award survey. Awardees must complete a survey that allows ICTR to collect information on awardees' experiences and perspectives as an investigator, departmental fiscal or post-award support contacts, and project-specific regulatory considerations.
- Regulatory approvals. Copies of all applicable human and/or animal research approval documents (including updates) must be forwarded to ICTR award administrators.
- Quarterly Progress Reports are required throughout the award period. These will be collected via online survey, and will address accomplishments to date, spending projections, and impacts of any ICTR research resources and/or trainings that may have been utilized during the quarter.
- Final report. Within 60 days of the project end date, submit via online survey a written description of accomplishments, including conference abstracts, publications, grant applications, and plans to further develop the project.
- Timely account closure. At least 60 days prior to the project end date, submit any final invoices, and ensure that all funds will be drawn from the account by the end date.
- Alumni Surveys will be requested annually for five years beyond the end date of the project. These will be collected via online survey, collect information related to grants and dissemination products that results from this work. Follow-up interviews may be requested.
- Awardees must adhere to the NIH Public Access Policy and obtain PMCID numbers for every publication utilizing pilot data.

UW ICTR Partners

School of Medicine and Public Health • School of Nursing • School of Pharmacy
School of Veterinary Medicine • College of Engineering • School of Education • Marshfield Clinic



- Awardees must acknowledge Pilot award funders in NIH biosketches and all publications, presentations, and dissemination activities, and notify ICTR of such publication submissions/acceptances, as well as any grant submissions/awards, using data generated from the pilot project. Specific instructions will be provided in the Notice of Grant Award Letter.

References and Resources	
Resources on Translational Science	<p>About Translational Science: https://ncats.nih.gov/about/about-translational-science</p> <p>Translational Science Spectrum: https://ncats.nih.gov/about/about-translational-science/spectrum</p> <p>Translational Science Principles: https://ncats.nih.gov/about/about-translational-science/principles</p> <p>Biomedical Translation NCATS YouTube: https://www.youtube.com/watch?v=TnHLo-hCsg (a short video)</p> <p>NIH VideoCast - NCATS at 10: Improving Health for All Through Translational Science: https://videocast.nih.gov/watch=44278 (a long video)</p> <p>Introduction to Translational Science Coursera: https://www.coursera.org/learn/intro-translational-science#modules</p>
NIH Resources	<p>Application Guide – Format Attachments NIH: https://grants.nih.gov/grants-process/write-application/how-to-apply-application-guide/format-attachments</p> <p>CTSA Prior Approval Requests for Human Subjects Research: https://ncats.nih.gov/research/research-activities/ctsa/ctsa-program-governance-guidelines/human-subjects-research</p> <p>eRA Commons (https://public.era.nih.gov/commonsplus/)</p> <p>ORCID (https://orcid.org/)</p> <p>Pilot Studies, Common Uses and Misuses NIH: https://www.nccih.nih.gov/grants/pilot-studies-common-uses-and-misuses</p> <p>Salary Cap Summary NIH: https://grants.nih.gov/policy-and-compliance/policy-topics/nih-fiscal-policies/salary-cap-summary</p> <p>Simplified Peer Review Framework NIH: https://grants.nih.gov/policy-and-compliance/policy-topics/peer-review/simplifying-review/framework</p> <p>National Center for Advancing Translational Sciences Clinical and Translational Science Award, PAR-24-272: https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/pa-files/PAR-24-272.html</p> <p>NIH Biosketch Template: https://grants.nih.gov/grants/forms/biosketch.htm</p> <p>NIH Other Support Template: https://grants.nih.gov/grants/forms/othersupport.htm</p> <p>NIH 2025 Biosketch and Other Support Updates: https://grants.nih.gov/grants/guide/notice-files/NOT-OD-24-163.html</p>
Abstract Writing	https://videos.med.wisc.edu/videos/8470

UW ICTR Partners

School of Medicine and Public Health • School of Nursing • School of Pharmacy
School of Veterinary Medicine • College of Engineering • School of Education • Marshfield Clinic

References and Resources	
ICTR Free Consults	<p>Research and Protocol Development: https://ictr.wisc.edu/research-resources/protocol-development</p> <p>Team Science Collaboration Planning: https://ictr.wisc.edu/team-science/team-science-collaboration-planning</p> <p>Dissemination & Implementation Launchpad: https://ictr.wisc.edu/research-resources/dissemination-implementation-launchpad/di-research-consultation/</p>
Data Science and Analysis Planning	<p>General SAP Guidance: https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC4552232</p> <p>SAP for Clinical Trials: https://pubmed.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/29260229</p> <p>ICTR Biostatistics and Epidemiology Research and Design FREE CONSULT: https://ictr.wisc.edu/consults/biostatistics-2</p> <p>UW Data Science Institute FREE CONSULT: https://dsi.wisc.edu/services/data-science-services</p> <p>NIH Strategic Plan for Data Science: https://datascience.nih.gov/nih-strategic-plan-data-science</p>
UW–Madison Policies	<p>Allowable costs: https://rsp.wisc.edu/awardmgt/directcosts.cfm</p> <p>Intellectual Property Policy: https://policy.wisc.edu/library/UW-4008</p> <p>Intellectual Property Policies and Forms: https://research.wisc.edu/intellectual-property/ip-policies-and-forms</p> <p>Principal Investigator Status Policy: https://research.wisc.edu/compliance-policy/principal-investigator-status</p> <p>Tuition Remission: https://rsp.wisc.edu/policies/tuitionremission_faq.cfm</p>

UW ICTR Partners

School of Medicine and Public Health • School of Nursing • School of Pharmacy
School of Veterinary Medicine • College of Engineering • School of Education • Marshfield Clinic